

# Impact of inhibitors on biological wastewater treatment processes



Project Workshop in Kaliningrad, Russia November 27, 2019 Riga Technical University Dr.Sc.ing. Kristina Tihomirova, Mg.Sc.ing. Ksenija Golovko, Dr.sc. Ing. Tālis Juhna









Industrial Sewage Treatment

# What the **Heavy metals Toxic substaces Overload with** typical

#### components









# GoA2.2 Data collection and feasible technologies testing (RTU)

1. Communication with water utilities companies

2. Literature study

 Data collection about accidents at WWTP (inhibition of the process)

2. Comparison of collected data and literature

 List of the most popular inhibitors
Planing of experiments

2. Planing of experiments









# Lab scale experiments: inhibitors of the biological wastewater treatment process

#### SBR pilot setup

**SBR cycle stages** 









#### Sludge microfauna 12 indicative organisms

Shannon-Weaver diversity index identification used to evaluate changes.

### **Only 1h for analysis**



### *Podophrya* sp. and stalked ciliates colony

BEST Better Efficiency for Industrial Sewage Treatment





Rotifer (Rotaria sp.)



# Worms (*Aeolosoma* sp.)

**Baltic Sea Region** 



## 1st Inhibitor: Ammonia shock load reasons

# What the concentration of ammonia is in the municipal wastewater





#### **Ammonia shock load effect**



**EUROPEAN UNION** 



*Epistylis* sp. colony



## Take home messages:

- Regeneration of the nitrification process after the shock organic compound load – after 10-24 hours (Hamoda and Al-Sharekh, 1999).
- At the same time, if ammonia concentration increases gradually, then after 48 hours ASP is capable of resisting up to 3 times higher ammonia concentrations than it was initially recorded (Puigagut et al., 2005).









## 2nd and 3d Inhibitors: pH and Free Ammonia (FA)

Ionized (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) and unionized (or free ammonia NH<sub>3</sub> – FA) ammonia equilibrium:



### 2nd and 3d Inhibitors: pH and Free Ammonia (FA)

#### What the concentration of FA is toxic for sludge biomass





## Low FA and low pH factors













### **High FA and high pH factors**





#### Filamentous sludge floccule









# Take home messages:

- Low FA (approximately 0.1 mg/l) under pH 6.5 is more destructive for the ASP-process than high FA under pH 8.5 (approximately 10 mg/l).
- Low FA reactor sludge microfauna had no population decrease comparing with high FA reactor. Although, low FA under pH 6.5 lead to zoogloea, gymnamoebae and *Chilodonella* sp. development.
- Zoogloea high abundance influenced sludge settleability, that led to sludge wash out from low FA reactor with following organic load increased and sludge foaming.















## **Effect: Microfauna osmotic shock**

#### Cephalodella (before NaCl addition)



#### Cephalodella (after NaCl addition)











# Take home messages:

- AOB and NOB inhibition can be described by sludge leaching with following COD increase and degradation of microfauna
- Sludge microfauna have osmotic shock and dewatering after NaCl addition
- The most NaCl resistant microfauna genus/species are: testate amoebae *Arcella*, crawling ciliate *Aspidisca*, stalked ciliate *Epistylis*.









# Acknowledgement

This research is done in terms of Interred Baltic Sea Region Project BEST – Better Efficiency for Industrial Sewage Treatment #R054 BEST

We thank the Riga Water Ltd for providing test materials and supported microbial testing.











#### Water Research Laboratory











